Message

From: Donaldson, Guy [Donaldson.Guy@epa.gov]

Sent: 10/16/2018 2:09:25 PM

To: Stenger, Wren [stenger.wren@epa.gov]; Price, Lisa [Price.Lisa@epa.gov]; Smith, Suzanne [Smith.Suzanne@epa.gov];

Feldman, Michael [Feldman.Michael@epa.gov]; Bartley, Richard [Bartley.Richard@epa.gov]

CC: Shar, Alan [shar.alan@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: Question that occurred to me after our call

Attachments: regional consistency concurrence memo draft 10.16.18 for Bill.docx; 2018-10-16 draft ltr granting pet for recon of

SSM SIP to Texas (CLEAN) Niermann.docx

Here is the letter to TCEQ announcing our plan to partially reconsider the SIP call and memo from the RA to Bill Wehrum regarding consistency.

These are the latest drafts. There is a possibility that Clint Woods will have comments but I think we should go ahead and start concurrence. I am going to get sharepoint routing started. These are advanced copies so you can be ready to keep them moving.

I think we should try to have them ready for RA signature by 12:00. So we can get the memo to HQs for Bill's concurrence in plenty of time.

Thanks in advance for moving this quickly.

From: Brachtl, Megan

Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 8:42 AM

To: Smith, Kristi <Smith.Kristi@epa.gov>; Donaldson, Guy <Donaldson.Guy@epa.gov>

Cc: Smith, Suzanne <Smith.Suzanne@epa.gov>; Seidman, Emily <seidman.emily@epa.gov>; Santiago, Juan

<Santiago.Juan@epa.gov>; Selbst, Elizabeth <selbst.elizabeth@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Question that occurred to me after our call

Guy – Here's the best we have at this point. These docs reflect OGC review through their management. If Clint has additional comments, we will need to update these to reflect them, but these could very well reflect the final versions from HQ, so I'd recommend you start working them through your chain. I will let you know once we hear from Clint either way.

The one thing we did hear from Clint is that Jon Niermann is now the chairman of TCEQ, so I changed Shaw to Niermann in the addressee block and introduction. I could not think of a succinct way of explaining that Shaw submitted the petition, given the way the rest of the letter is written, but feel free to make changes if you think necessary.

Thanks, Megan

Megan V. Brachtl, Group Leader State and Local Programs Group Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (919) 541-2648

brachtl.megan@epa.gov

From: Brachtl, Megan

Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 8:45 AM

To: Smith, Kristi <Smith.Kristi@epa.gov>; Donaldson, Guy <Donaldson.Guy@epa.gov>

Cc: Smith, Suzanne <Smith.Suzanne@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Question that occurred to me after our call

Sorry – I ended up in meetings til late yesterday. We spoke with Clint and I'm going to check in with Juan right now. I'll follow up in 20 minutes.

Megan V. Brachtl, Group Leader
State and Local Programs Group
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(919) 541-2648
brachtl.megan@epa.gov

From: Smith, Kristi

Sent: Monday, October 15, 2018 5:33 PM

To: Donaldson, Guy Donaldson.Guy@epa.gov>; Brachtl, Megan Brachtl.Megan@epa.gov>

Cc: Smith, Suzanne <Smith.Suzanne@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Question that occurred to me after our call

Guy -

Doesn't look like anyone responded. DOJ wants to file by 5, so it should be complete 4:30 and the sequence would be:

- R6 sends the concurrence memo
- OAR signs the concurrence memo & sends back to R6
- R6 signs the letter.
- Kristi

Kristi M. Smith * Assistant General Counsel for the NAAQS Implementation Group * Air & Radiation Law Office * US EPA, Office of General Counsel * smith.kristi@epa.gov * (202) 564-3068 *

CONFIDENTIAL communication for internal deliberations only; may contain deliberative, attorney-client, attorney work product, or otherwise privileged material; do not distribute outside EPA or DOJ.

From: Donaldson, Guy

Sent: Monday, October 15, 2018 3:05 PM

To: Brachtl, Megan <Brachtl.Megan@epa.gov>; Smith, Kristi <Smith.Kristi@epa.gov>

Cc: Smith, Suzanne < Smith.Suzanne@epa.gov > **Subject:** Question that occurred to me after our call

What is the deadline to get signatures on the letter and memo in order to meet the DOJ deadline? In thinking about that it seems like we need to factor in time for OAR concurrence on the memo.

We should probably set up a timeline. We will need at least a couple of hours here to run the letter and memo through an abbreviated concurrence.